

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **8 January 2008**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-36. Examiner rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 1-36 but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 35 were rejected for reciting the phrase “need to be,” which is considered indefinite. Applicant has rewritten claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 35 to remove the phrase “need to be.” No new matter has been added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, 21, 31, 33, and 35 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-10, which depend upon claim 1, claims 12-20, which depend upon claim 11, claims 22-30, which depend upon claim 21, claim 32, which depends upon claim 31, claim 34, which depends upon claim 33, and claim 36, which depends upon claim 35, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Anthony Jones/
Anthony Jones
Registration No. 59,521

Date: 31 January 2008

Anthony Jones
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1666
Fax: (530) 759-1665
Email: tony@parklegal.com